## Ranges NRM Region

Adelaide and Mount Lofty

## 2014 Regional Snapshot

## Are control programs focused on high priority weeds and pest animals?

Weeds compete with native plants and damage native animal habitat and agriculture land. In 2004 it was estimated that weeds cost Australia over \$4 billion every year in management and lost agricultural production.

Pest animals prey on and compete with native animals and livestock, and damage native vegetation, landscapes and agricultural businesses. In 2009, it was estimated that pest animals cost Australia over \$740 million every year.

It is not feasible to eradicate all weeds or pest animals in the Adelaide and Mount Lofty Ranges NRM region. Risk management is used to minimise their impacts. Risk management helps to coordinate and prioritise control efforts and investments to protect the environment, agricultural production and public health and safety.

This report summarises information on the management of invasive species and should be read alongside reports on distribution and abundance of <u>weeds</u> and <u>pest animals</u> in the NRM region.

## State target

Limit the establishment of pests and diseases and reduce the impacts of existing pests

Trend

Getting better

Risk assessments have been completed to help prioritise weeds and pest animals for control

To prioritise pest species for management, staff from the Adelaide and Mount Lofty Ranges NRM region use the SA Weed and Pest Animal Risk Management Guides, which were developed by the Department of Primary Industries and Regions SA. These guides recommend management actions based on assessments of the risks posed by each pest species and the feasibility to contain them.

Where we are at (2013)	Good	Risk assessments have been completed for 181 weeds and 31 pest animals			
For the purpose of this report, a we control if risk assessments conclude contained. Of the high-risk weeds a controlled gives an indication of th Staff from the Adelaide and Mount weeds. Based on those assessment management (eradicated, destroyed controlled (graph on right). Staff have also assessed 31 pest an pests rank as high priorities for ma and of those 3 are monitored and of Some of the other management ca low risk, and protect sites by mana but is not feasible to contain (such impacts may still be required to pro-	e that it should be eradi and pest animals, the nu- e extent to which risk m Lofty Ranges NRM regi s, 39 established weeds ed or contained), and 23 imals. Based on those a nagement (eradicated, or controlled. tegories include limited ging the weed or pest a as false caper or foxes),	cated, destroyed or imber that are monitored or nanagement is used. on have assessed 181 s rank as high priorities for s of those are monitored and ssessments, 5 established destroyed or contained), action if a species poses a nimal if it poses a high risk management of their	180 - 160 - 140 - 140 - 120 - 100 - 80 - 100 - 40 - 40 - 0 - 0 -	Weeds	<ul> <li>High priority: targeted management</li> <li>High priority: no targeted management</li> <li>Other management categories and/or not established</li> <li>Pest animals</li> </ul>
Reliability of information	****	Excellent			
Further information: Technical information for this report South Australia Weed Risk Management Gu South Australia Pest Animal Risk Assessmen					

This report is a work in progress. As resource monitoring improves, so too will our ability to describe trends in condition. Licensed under <u>Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia</u>. © Crown in right of the State of South Australia.





